PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 7/31/2006
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction act of 1995, no persona are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM			Application Number		10/092,381		
			Filing Date	Filing Date		March 4, 2002	
			First Named Inver	First Named Inventor		John Cook	
			Art Unit	Art Unit		1773	
			Examiner Name	Examiner Name		Dhirajlal S. Nakarani	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 15			Attorney Docket N	Attorney Docket Number		16728US02	
			hook all that a	peck all that apply)			
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC			
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers					
Amendment/Reply		Petition		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
After Final		Petition to Convert to a		Appeal Communication to TC			
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Provisional Application		(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)			
Extension of Time Request		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Proprietary Information			
				Status Letter			
Express Abandonment Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Return-Receipt Postcard			
Information Disclosure Statement				·			
		Request for Refund		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
Certified Copy of Priority		CD Number of CD(s)		identify below).			
Document(s)		Landscape Table on CD					
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application				1			
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
		Remarks					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm					*		
Or Individual Name	McAndrews Held & Malloy, Ltd.						
Individual Name	Jennifer Lacroix		Registration No. (Attorn	gistration No. (Attorney/Agent)		2	
Name (Print/type)	nne (Timotype)		1				
Signature / fam.			MAIL DEBOGE	Date: April 21, 2006			
4F	-1-11 PX		MAIL DEPOSIT				
"Express Mail" mailing label number : EV 729162335 US Date of Deposit April 21, 2006.							

## Summary of Examiner Interview/Record

An interview was conducted between one Attorney of Record, Troy Groetken, and Examiner Nakarani on February 15, 2006. The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph was discussed. Examiner Nakarani expressed agreement that amending the claim language of claim 1 to read "from about 1% by weight to about 10% by weight" would be acceptable and overcome the remaining 112, first paragraph rejection of that particular claim. It was agreed that claim 12 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to incorporate the elements of claim 1, including the amendment discussed.

The Examiner further agreed that claims 31 through 36 were allowable if rewritten in independent form as noted in the December 21, 2005 Office Action. The rejection of claims 56 and 57 under 35 U.S.C. 112 was also discussed, though no agreement was reached with respect to potential claim amendments. Further, Examiner Nakarani indicated that support for certain claim amendments could also be envisioned by supplying component specification information.

Lastly, Examiner Nakarani indicated that various grammatical and typographical errors were noted in the specification of the instant application and requested amendments to correct those errors.